THE INCIDENCE OF TOOTH ABNORMALITIES IN DOWN'S SYNDROME PATIENTS BY DIGITAL RADIOGRAPHIC EVALUATION

JOEL **MARQUES¹**, PEDRO GREGOL DA **SILVA²**, KEY FABIANO SOUZA **PEREIRA^{3*}**, LIA BEATRIZ **JUNQUEIRA-VERARDO**⁴, ANDRE AFIF **ELOSSAIS**⁵, LUIZ FERNANDO **TOMAZINHO**⁶

1. Medical School, UFMS - Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil; 2. Titular Professor of the Dental School, UFMS - Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil; 3. Adjunct Professor of Dental School, UFMS - Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil; 4. Undergraduate student of Dental School, UFMS - Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil; 5. Dental School, UNIGRAN - University of Dourados, Dourados, MS, Brazil; 6. Titular Professor of the Dental School, UNIPAR - University Paranaense, PR, Brazil.

* Avenida Senador Filinto Muller, Cidade Universitária, s/n, Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil, CEP: 79070-900. keyendo@hotmail.com

Recebido em 19/02/2016. Aceito para publicação em 11/03/2016

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to analyze the incidence of development tooth abnormalities in a sample of patients with Down's syndrome through digital panoramic radiographs. Sixty two patients aged 6-35 years had their panoramic radiographs obtained in Clínica de Radiologia Odontológica DOC CENTER, and analyzed for the presence of tooth abnormalities. Collected data were analyzed in crosstabs using the chi-square test, with a significance level of 5%. The results showed no significance at the intersection of data related to location in hemiarcade, sex and age of patients with tooth abnormalities (p>0.05). Among all patients, 82.3% of the cases had some tooth abnormality, and the Tooth Agenesis was the most frequent (51.3%). Next, Impacted Teeth and Taurodontia corresponded to 15.4% each, and Microdontia to 9% of cases. The Delay in Eruption accounted to only 3.8% of cases and other abnormalities (Macrodontia, Supernumerary Teeth, Dental Transposition and Fusion) accounted for 1% of cases, each. Within the limitations of this study it was concluded that digital panoramic radiographies allowed the identification of tooth abnormalities in patients with Down's syndrome, without preference of gender or age, but location at hemiarchs was dependent on the analyzed abnormality.

KEYWORDS: Tooth abnormalities, down's syndrome, panoramic radiographs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tooth abnormalities can manifest local conditions that arise as inherent hereditary tendency, may be manifestations of systemic disorders or syndromes from part of a general nature. The Down's syndrome (DS) is one of the most common and easily recognizable syndromes¹ characterized by an autosomal chromosomal abnormality that results from trisomy of all or part of chromosome 21². It is the most common genetic cause of mental retardation that affects approximately 1 in 700 live births². Its incidence in the Brazilian city of Ribeirão Preto-SP was 1.66 cases in 1972³ although it is known that it affects on average 1.13 births per 1000 in Brazil⁴.

Several oral events are associated with DS, such as: taurodontism^{5,6}, tooth agenesis, impacted teeth, peg-shaped teeth^{7,8}, class III malocclusion, posterior crossbites⁸⁻¹⁰, retained primary teeth¹¹, the chronology of dental maturation and frequently high caries rate^{8,11}. Thus, it is important for the dentist to know patient's medical history and take precautions, because these patients can be treated routinely in a dental Office^{1,10,12,13}.

Digital radiographs have been used commonly in medicine but only after the 80's its use in dentistry has gained strength¹⁴. The use of digital panoramic radiography has grown in dentistry due to the simplicity of the technique, wide coverage area to be examined, ability to design anatomical structures, decrease in exposure time and little financial cost to the patient or the health service. Digital Radiography has the advantage of exposing the patient to lower the amount of radiation^{14,15}, allow improved images by software manipulation^{14,16}, and the technique is faster, cheaper and easier than conventional^{14,16}.

Since there is a great possibility of detection of dental anomalies in patients with radiographic examinations, this study hypothesize that the analysis of digital panoramic radiographs can help to determine the incidence of tooth abnormalities in patients with DS. Then, the aim of this study was to analyze the incidence of tooth abnormalities in a sample of patients with Down's syndrome, through panoramic radiographs, in relation to the affected hemiarch, gender and age of patients.

2. METHODS

This study can be characterized as a descriptive and

BJSCR (ISSN online: 2317-4404)

retrospective study to determine the incidence of tooth abnormalities through panoramic radiographs in 62 DS patients, male and female. All this survey was conducted through the database of digital panoramic radiographs of Clinica de Radiologia Dental DOC CENTER, at Campo Grande, MS, Brazil. This research was conducted within the principles of the Research Ethics Committee of Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, and it is registered under the number CAAE: 11639112.4.0000.0021.

All patients were radiographed during 2012 and the images have undergone careful analysis. Radiographs with absence of viewing patterns and diagnosis were excluded from the study, as well as those that caused doubts in the interpretation of images.

The radiographs were divided by gender and after that, the age and tooth abnormalities (TA) were recorded both for maxilla and mandible. All four quadrants were analyzed

in relation to: agenesis, impaction, macrodontia, microdontia, delayed eruption, supernumerary, taurodontia, dental transposition and fusion.

The data were organized in frequency tables and crosstables and analyzed by the Chi-Square test at a significance level of 5%.

3. RESULTS

A Most patients belonged to male gender (67.7%), with female corresponding only 32.3% of patients, and 82.3% of the patients had some TA, with only 17.7% of cases without any abnormality. Table 1 shows the frequencies of TA. Tooth

Agenesis was the most common TA (51.3%), followed Impacted Teeth and Taurodontia, which corresponded to 15.4% each, and Microdontia (9% of cases). The delay in eruption accounted to only 3.8% of cases and the other TAs (Macrodontia, Supernumerary Teeth, Dental Transposition and Fusion) accounted for 1% of cases, each.

Table 1. Frequency of tooth abnormalities.

Type of abnormality	Frequency	(%)
Agenesis	40	51,3
Impacted teeth	12	15,4
Macrodontia	1	1,3
Microdontia	7	9,0
Delayed eruption	3	3,8
Supernumerary teeth	1	1,3
Taurodontia	12	15,4
Transposition	1	1,3
Fusion	1	1,3
TOTAL	78	100,0

The analysis by chi-square test for the relationship between sex and presence of abnormality didn't show a statistical significant result, with p > 0.05 (Table 2).

Table 2. Crosstab for Gender x Abnormality. Data in %.

Gender/abnormality	Yes	No	Total				
Male	83,3%	16,7%	100%				
Female	80,0%	20,0%	100%				
*Not significant, with $Chi^2 = 0.10$, $df = 1, 1-n = 25,19\%$							

It could be seen that 83.3% of male patients had anomalies, while 80% of women had some TA. Also, the relationship between gender and kind of anomaly was not significant, with p> 0.05 (Table 3).

ages.	Table 3. Crosstab for Gender x Type of Abnormality. Data in %.							ó.	
Gender/	Agen.	Imp.	Macro	Micro	Delay	Super	Tauro.	Transp.	Fusion
Abnormality									
Male	61.9	19.0	2.4	9.5	7.1	2.4	23.8	2.4	2.4
Female	70.0	20.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0

*Not Significant, with $Chi^2 = 4,35$, gl = 8, 1-p = 17,60%. Agen.: agenesia; Imp.: impacted teeth; Macro.: macrodontia; Micro.: microdontia; Delay: delayed eruption; Super.: supernumerary; Tauro.: taurodontic teeth; Transp.: transposition.

Most of TA were seen in ages 10 until 25 years but there was no correlation between age and the type of TA, with p>0.05 (Table 4).

 Table 4. Crosstab for Age x Type of Abnormality. Data in %.

Age/ abnormality.	Agen.	Impact.	Macro.	Micro.	Delay.	Super.	Tauro.	Transp.	Fusion
< 10 years	83,3	0,0	0,0	0,0	16,7	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
10 a 15 years	57,1	14,3	0,0	0,0	7,1	0,0	14,3	0,0	7,1
15 a 20 years	45,8	20,8	0,0	12,5	4,2	0,0	16,7	0,0	0,0
20 a 25 years	52,6	15,8	5,3	10,5	0,0	0,0	10,5	5,3	0,0
25 a 30 years	28,6	28,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	14,3	28,6	0,0	0,0
30 a 35 years	66,7	0,0	0,0	16,7	0,0	0,0	16,7	0,0	0,0

*Not Significant, with $Chi^2 = 35,39$, gl = 40, 1-p = 32,25%. Agen.: agenesia; Imp.: impacted teeth; Macro.: macrodontia; Micro.: microdontia; Delay: delayed eruption; Super.: supernumerary; Tauro.: taurodontic teeth; Transp.: transposition.

In general, the occurrence of TAs by hemiarch was dependent on the type of TA. The third molars were the teeth most affected by agenesis, corresponding to 38.7% of cases, followed by all other teeth randomly. The maxillary canines were the ones that showed the greatest number of impaction (56.3%), followed by maxillary premolars (31.4%), mandibular canine (6.3%) and mandibular second premolar (6.3%). The number of teeth with Taurodontism was higher for mandibular second molars (58.4%), and almost all remaining cases were found in mandibular posterior teeth (37.4%) except by one maxillary first premolar (4.2%). Macrodontia was detected only in the upper teeth (4 teeth), 50% in the right upper quadrant and 50% in the upper left. The microdontia was also detected only in the upper teeth (8

BJSCR (ISSN online: 2317-4404)

Openly accessible at http://www.mastereditora.com.br/bjscr

teeth), but the upper right quadrant accounted for 62.5% and 37.5% for the upper left quadrant. The remaining TAs were irrelevant to location categorization.

4. DISCUSSION

The present study established the hypothesis that the analysis of digital panoramic radiographs could help the detection of TAs in patients with Down's syndrome, which could be confirmed with the detection of abnormalities in over than 80% of analyzed patients. It was possible to verify that the anomalies were not linked to any specific age or gender, as confirmed by the statistical analyzes performed using the chi-square test, with p>0.05, although its preferential localization was dependent on each detected TA.

Studies have shown different occurence of TAs, depending on population, local, gender, age and health conditions. In one study, a prevalence of 11.4% was observed among normal children, 08-11 years old¹⁷, but 36.7% of patients were diagnosed with some dental anomaly, in another one¹⁸. Syndromic patients may have different number of tooth abnormalities when compared to normal patients. The agenesis tends to occur at rates between 3,2% to 7,6% in a non-syndromic population in most of the world^{19,20}, but in DS patients this rate rises to 20-30% of cases⁷. In the present study, dental agenesis was the most frequent abnormality, reaching 51.3% of cases, which is a high percentage compared to the previous study. De Moraes et al.⁷, showed a high incidence of abnormalities among syndromic patients: taurodontism (50%), probable agenesis (20.2%), suspected agenesis (10.7%), conoid teeth (8.3%), and impacted teeth (5.9%), concluding that patients with Down syndrome have a high incidence of dental anomalies, and in most cases, individuals presented more than one type of TA.

The Taurodontism is common in DS patients affecting 36.4%⁵ or 55.8%²¹ of subjects, but Alpoz and Eronat⁶, found 66% of occurrence, attributing this high rate to a widespread instability development. In the present study, Taurodontism was found in 15.4% of cases reaching the same frequency of Impacted Teeth.

In this research it was observed that 51.3% of cases had agenesis, followed by Impacted Teeth and Taurodontia with 15.4% of cases, each one, and microdontia with 9% of cases. The delay in eruption amounted to only 3.8% of cases and the other TAs (Macrodontia, Supernumerary Teeth, Dental Transposition and Fusion) accounted for 1% of cases each (Table 1). The acceleration in transient mitotic activity during developing of enamel organ around the early stage of pregnancy, which persists during the initial stages of mineralization of primary teeth may be the reason for the high number of TAs in DS patients²². The initial acceleration would then be followed by the widely recognized retardation in growth, which is reflected in the permanent teeth^{5,22,23}. Although few studies on the observation of TAs in patients with DS are available²⁴, it was possible to notice that their occurrence is increased in these patients and the distribution and types vary with the location and population surveyed. Then, a multidisciplinary effort must be taken^{24,25} for both data collection and for detection of conditions that could be better treated in these patients.

From the obtained results and considering all the limitations of this study it can be concluded that digital panoramic radiographs allowed the identification of dental anomalies in patients with Down syndrome, affecting 82.3% of patients without preference of gender or age, but location at hemiarchs was dependent on the abnormality; The agenesis was the most common dental anomaly in the analyzed patients (51.3% of cases) followed by Impacted Teeth and Taurodontia (15.4% of cases, each one), and Microdontia (9% of cases); The Delay in Eruption accounted for only 3.8% of cases and other abnormalities (Macrodontia, Supernumerary Teeth, Dental Transposition and Fusion) accounted for 1% of cases each.

REFERÊNCIAS

- [01] Seagriff-Curtin P, Pugliese S, Romer M. Dental considerations for individuals with Down syndrome. N Y State Dent J. 2006; 72:33-35.
- [02] Kieser J, Townsend G, Quick A. The Down syndrome patient in dental practice, part I: Pathogenesis and general and dental features. N Z Dent J. 2003;99:5-9.
- [03] Xavier AR, Carvalheiro CD, Ferrari I. Incidence and prevalence of Down's syndrome in the city of Ribeirao Preto, Sao Paulo (Brazil, 1972. Rev Bras Pesqui Med Biol. 1978; 11:39-42.
- [04] Frota-Pessoa O, Otto PA, Otto PG. Mongolismo. In: Frota-Pessoa O, Otto PA, Otto PG, editors. Genética clínica. São Paulo: Francisco Alves; 1987. p. 153-567.
- [05] Bell J, Civil CR, Townsend GC, Brown RH. The prevalence of taurodontism in Down's syndrome. J Ment Defic Res. 1989; 33 (Pt 6):467-476.
- [06] Alpoz AR, Eronat C. Taurodontism in children associated with trisomy 21 syndrome. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 1997; 22:37-39.
- [07] de Moraes ME, de Moraes LC, Dotto GN, Dotto PP, dos Santos LR. Dental anomalies in patients with Down syndrome. Braz Dent J. 2007; 18:346-350.
- [08] Cornejo LS, Zak GA, Dorronsoro de Cattoni ST, Calamari SE, Azcurra AI, Battellino LJ. Bucodental health condition in patients with Down syndrome of Cordoba City, Argentina. Acta Odontol Latinoam. 1996; 9:65-79.
- [09] Bauer D, Evans CA, Begole EA, Salzmann L. Severity of occlusal disharmonies in down syndrome. Int J Dent. 2012; 2012:872367.
- [10] Vigild M. Prevalence of malocclusion in mentally retarded young adults. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1985; 13:183-184.

BJSCR (ISSN online: 2317-4404)

Openly accessible at http://www.mastereditora.com.br/bjscr

Marques et al. / Braz. J. Surg. Clin. Res.

- [11] Al Habashneh R, Al-Jundi S, Khader Y, Nofel N. Oral health status and reasons for not attending dental care among 12- to 16-year-old children with Down syndrome in special needs centres in Jordan. Int J Dent Hyg. 2012; 10:259-264.
- [12] Asokan S, Muthu MS, Sivakumar N. Oral findings of Down syndrome children in Chennai city, India. Indian J Dent Res. 2008; 19:230-235.
- [13] Desai SS. Down syndrome: a review of the literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1997; 84:279-285.
- [14] Brennan J. An introduction to digital radiography in dentistry. J Orthod. 2002; 29: 66-9.
- [15] Cowen AR, Davies AG, Kengyelics SM. Advances in computed radiography systems and their physical imaging characteristics. Clin Radiol. 2007; 62:1132-1141.
- [16] Versteeg CH, Sanderink GC, van der Stelt PF. Efficacy of digital intra-oral radiography in clinical dentistry. J Dent. 1997; 25: 215-224.
- [17] Coutinho TCL, Tostes MA, Santos MEO, Bastos VAS. Anomalias dentárias em crianças: um estudo radiográfico. Rev Odontol Univ São Paulo. 1998; 12:51-55.
- [18] Patil S, Doni B, Kaswan S, Rahman F. Prevalence of dental anomalies in Indian population. J Clin Exp Dent. 2013; 5:183-186.
- [19] Watanabe PCA, Souza JG, Almeida SM, Montebelo Filho A. Estudo radiográfico (ortopantomográfico) da incidência das anomalias dentais de número na Região de Piracicaba – SP. ROBRAC. 1997; 6:32-38.
- [20] Polder BJ, Van't Hof MA, Van der Linden FPGM, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. A meta-analysis of the prevalence of dental agenesis of permanent teeth. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2004; 32: 217-226.
- [21] Rajic Z, Mestrovic SR. Taurodontism in Down's syndrome. Coll Antropol. 1998; 22 Suppl:63-67.
- [22] Peretz B, Katzenel V, Shapira J. Morphometric variables of the primary second molar in children with Down syndrome. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 1999; 23:333-336.
- [23] Barden HS. Fluctuating dental asymmetry: a measure of developmental instability in Down syndrome. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1980; 52:169-173.
- [24] Roos A, Eggermann T, Zschiesche S, Midro A, Schwanitz G Abnormalities in tooth morphology, structure and dentition in two children with chromosome aberrations. Translocation trisomy 13 and trisomy 21. Adv Med Sci. 2008; 53:17-20.
- [25] Conceição TS, Silva LP, Silva AS, Pinho JRO, Cruz MCFN. Prevalence of dental anomalies in children and teenagers from a Brazilian northeastern population. BJSCR. 2015; 12:09-13.

BJSCR (ISSN online: 2317-4404)